

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

## **EDITORIALTEAM**

### **EDITORS**

#### **Dr. Samrat Datta**

*Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board*



#### **Dr. Namita Jain**

*Head & Associate Professor*

*School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.*

*Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi. (2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019*



## Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

*Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.*



## Avinash Kumar



*Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.*

## **ABOUT US**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS  
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

# **THE CONUNDRUM BETWEEN PATENT AND COPYRIGHT LAWS IN AI-DRIVEN DEVICES**

AUTHORED BY - KANAN JOSHI & GARGI PANT

## **ABSTRACT**

The evolution of Artificial intelligence technologies has brought about significant changes, presenting changes to the legal arena concerning intellectual property rights. Copyright and patent are two faces of a coin, which sometimes result in conflict in their application to AI-generated content and inventions. This paper examines the relationship between copyright and patent laws in context of AI-generated content. It sheds light on the conflicts and ambiguities that arise in their application. The conflict between patent and copyright in context of AI rises due to the evolving nature of artificial intelligence, which blurs the lines between creative and inventive processes since these technologies can create both copyrightable and patentable inventions therefore, it is necessary to determine whether an AI generated creation falls under copyright protection (as a creative expression) or patent protection (as a technical innovation). This paper analyses the implications of the conflict between copyright and patent laws on innovation and technological progress in the field of AI. It aims to highlight the nuanced approach that is required to accommodate the challenges and foster innovation while protecting creators and inventors right in this continuous evolving technological landscape.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Technology has become a major part of human life, it influences how we do things, communicate with each other, and organize our social institutions. There are various aspects of technology such as innovation, connectivity, accessibility, adaptability, etc. The list is never-ending due to the multifaceted and evolving nature of technology. Technology often serves as a conduit for the creation, protection, and application of intangible property. The discovery of intangible property refers to the development of assets that lack physical substance but hold value. Intangible property incorporates a wide range of assets that are often legally protected and can contribute significantly to a company's or an individual's value. Intellectual property is a primary category of intangible property and includes patents, trademarks, copyrights, and trade secrets. The increasing use of AI in different sectors has become a prominent trend due

to its transformative skills. In this article, the conflict between the two categories of Patent and copyright will be conferred concerning algorithm-driven devices. Algorithm-driven devices, powered by artificial intelligence, challenge the traditional boundaries of patent and copyright, leading to clarity in determining rights. The applicability of patent law and copyright law being different has resulted in the conflict of protecting algorithm-driven devices.

### WHAT IS PATENT AND COPYRIGHT?

The Patent Act of 1970 “governs patents in India. Under this act, a patent is a legal right granted to an inventor, which prevents others from selling, using, making importing, or distributing the patented invention without their permission for a period of 20 years from the date of filing application”<sup>1</sup>. Chapter two, section 3 of the Indian Patent Act 1970, describes the inventions that cannot be patented which includes an invention that claims anything contrary to established natural laws, which could be contrary to public order, or morality, or which causes serious prejudice to human, animal or plant life, or mere discovery of a scientific principle or mere discovery of a new form of a known substance or use of a known process, etc<sup>2</sup>.

Copyright is a form of intellectual property protection granted to creators of original work such as literary works (including computer programs, tables, and compilations including computer databases which may be expressed codes or words), dramas, music, artistic works, movies, and sound recordings under the The Copyright Act, 1957<sup>3</sup>. Copyright law protects the expression of ideas under section 13 of the Copyright Act 1957, copyright protection is convened on literary works for example books, computer programs, movies, music, etc. Copyright is a cluster of rights vested in the owner of copyright under section 14 of this act. Such rights can only be wielded by the owner of the copyright which are economic and moral rights. Economic rights include the right to sell, rent, issue copies, offer, or sale of the copyrighted work and the moral right mentioned in section 57 of the copyright act which recognizes the right to paternity which incorporates the right to assert the authorship of the work and the second right is right to integrity which incorporates right to restrain, and claim damages. In the case of original

---

<sup>1</sup> The Patents Act, 1970. (n.d.). Available at: [https://ipindia.gov.in/writereaddata/Portal/IPOAct/1\\_31\\_1\\_patent-act-1970-11march2015.pdf](https://ipindia.gov.in/writereaddata/Portal/IPOAct/1_31_1_patent-act-1970-11march2015.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> Iddashboard.legislative.gov.in. (n.d.). *The Patents Act, 1970*|Legislative Department | Ministry of Law and Justice | GoI. [online] Available at: <https://iddashboard.legislative.gov.in/actsofparliamentfromtheyear/patents-act-1970>.

<sup>3</sup> Iddashboard.legislative.gov.in. (n.d.). *The Copyright Act, 1957*|Legislative Department | Ministry of Law and Justice | GoI. [online] Available at: <https://iddashboard.legislative.gov.in/actsofparliamentfromtheyear/copyright-act-1957>.

literary, dramatic, musical, or artistic work the period of copyright in India is 60 years in addition to the author's lifespan.

### WHAT IS HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE?

Hardware "refers to physical components (such as electronic and electrical devices) of a vehicle (such as a spacecraft) or an apparatus"<sup>4</sup>.

Software "refers to the entire set of programs, procedures, and related documentation associated with a mechanical or electronic system, especially a computer system"<sup>5</sup>.

Hardware and software are interdependent and work together to function effectively in a computer system. Hardware mechanisms such as CPU, storage devices, memory, etc., form a computer system. However, without software, these mechanisms remain inactive. The software provides instructions and programs necessary for hardware to conduct its functions. For example, without software (operating system), a computer's hardware would not know how to manage files, run applications, or perform basic functions. The software requires hardware to execute its commands and processes (Hayes). No matter how well-designed the software might be, it needs underlying hardware to operate. The most sophisticated applications or games require hardware like CPU, memory, and graphic capabilities to function efficiently. Therefore, hardware provides a physical platform for software to run, while software gives instructions and purpose to the hardware. Hardware is often protected through patents, which provide exclusive rights to inventors for a period (mostly 20 years) to prevent others from making, using, selling, or importing without permission<sup>6</sup>. Such patents cover physical inventions, innovative devices, machinery, etc. Copyright law covers certain creative or artistic elements incorporated into the design. Software, on the other hand, is usually protected via copyright law, which protects the expression of ideas rather than the ideas themselves<sup>7</sup>. Software is protected through patents as it involves novel methods, processes, or algorithms that meet the

---

<sup>4</sup> www.merriam-webster.com. (n.d.). *Definition of HARDWARE*. [online] Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/hardware>.

<sup>5</sup> Merriam-webster.com. (2019). *Definition of SOFTWARE*. [online] Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/software>.

<sup>6</sup> Yanisky-Ravid, S. and Velez-Hernandez, L.A. (2017). Copyrightability of Artworks Produced by Creative Robots, Driven by Artificial Intelligence Systems and the Concept of Originality: The Formality - Objective Model. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2943778>.

<sup>7</sup> Hayes, C.M. (2023). *Generative Artificial Intelligence and Copyright: Both Sides of the Black Box*. [online] Social Science Research Network. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4517799>.

patentability requirements. The difference in legal protection mechanisms for hardware (mainly patents) and software (mainly patents) might create intricacies, especially when dealing with technology that combines both. This might create ambiguity as to which legislation holds precedence.

### CAUSES OF CONFLICT BETWEEN COPYRIGHT AND PATENT LAWS

Deciding whether AI-driven devices should be patented or copyrighted can depend on various factors and the nature of the technology involved. AI-driven devices often involve hardware and software characteristics. In such cases, both patenting and copyrighting might be relevant. Some elements might not be eligible for patent protection but could be copyrighted, deciding between patent and copyright for AI-driven devices should include careful assessment of their nature role, and functioning of device. The next conflict which follows is the determining of the rightful owner of AI-generated content whether it should be the AI developer, the owner of the AI system, or the user who commands the AI<sup>8</sup>. This technology is collaborative in nature and therefore, includes numerous contributors, and neglecting anyone might create a legal liability. In such cases, contractual agreements might be necessary to address the complicated nature of AI-generated content<sup>9</sup>. Moreover, traditional concepts of patent and copyright laws were designed for human creators/inventors, and as AI evolves it has sparked debates for legal and ethical considerations. Some jurisdictions have attempted to decide on this issue for instance, the US Patent and Trademark Office rejected patent applications citing an AI system as the inventor and held that the inventor should be a natural person<sup>10</sup>. Though there are enduring discussions about the ethical and legal implications of giving IPR to AI<sup>11</sup>. Furthermore, differences in intellectual property laws across jurisdictions might pose challenges for creators, developers, and business technology dealing with AI, since some jurisdictions might not recognize AI as a creator or inventor, while others might be exploring frameworks to accommodate AI-generated content. Also, the absence of international harmonization and recognition of AI-specific laws might strain the legal administrative systems

---

<sup>8</sup> Reichman, J.H. (1994). Legal Hybrids between the Patent and Copyright Paradigms. *Columbia Law Review*, [online] 94, p.2432. Available at: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/clr94&div=71&id=&page=>.

<sup>9</sup> HeinOnline. (2021). *About / HeinOnline*. [online] Available at: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/inj1olw3&div=499&id=&page=> [Accessed 2 Jan. 2025].

<sup>10</sup> USPTO (2024). *United States Patent and Trademark Office*. [online] Uspto.gov. Available at: <https://www.uspto.gov/>.

<sup>11</sup> Abbott, R. (2022). *Intellectual property and artificial intelligence: an introduction*. [online] [www.elgaronline.com](http://www.elgaronline.com). Available at: <https://www.elgaronline.com/edcollchap/book/9781800881907/book-part-9781800881907-6.xml>.

regarding IP rights.

### CONCLUSION

Addressing conflicts between copyright and patent concerning the evolving technology should involve revisiting and developing legal definitions, and standards, and eventually creating dedicated regulations to accommodate AI-generated creations. Patent and copyright laws have different eligibility, duration, and protection mechanisms, leading to discrepancies in categorizing AI-generated content. It is an uncharted legal territory and thus requires a nuanced approach that welcomes the characteristics of AI. Encouraging collaboration between patent, and copyright offices, along with AI experts and stakeholders, is essential to navigate these challenges and foster a balanced system that accommodates and protects AI-generated intellectual property.

